



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

RQ-5

MAR 01 2002

James Davidson, Treasurer  
Lone Star Fund  
607 14<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 800  
Washington, DC 20005

Identification Number: C00269779

Reference: 30 Day Special Election Report (5/31/01-7/9/01)

Dear Mr. Davidson:

This letter is prompted by the Commission's preliminary review of the report(s) referenced above. The review raised questions concerning certain information contained in the report(s). An itemization follows:

-Your EVENT YEAR-TO-DATE calculations for "GF02" are incorrect. EVENT YEAR-TO-DATE totals for administrative and voter drive costs are derived by aggregating all disbursements during the calendar year for the whole Administrative/Voter Drive category. EVENT YEAR-TO-DATE totals for fundraising, direct candidate support, and exempt activities are derived by aggregating all disbursements during the calendar year within a specific event. These should be calculated by adding the latest disbursement for a category or event to the previous EVENT YEAR-TO-DATE total for that category or event. This running EVENT YEAR-TO-DATE total should be disclosed after each disbursement is listed. Please amend your report by providing the correct EVENT YEAR-TO-DATE totals.

Any amendment or clarification should be filed with the Federal Election Commission. Electronic filers must file amendments in an electronic format and must submit an amended report in its entirety, rather than just those portions of the report that are being amended. If you need assistance, please feel free to contact me on our toll-free number, (800) 424-9530 (at the prompt press 1, then press 2 to reach the Reports Analysis Division). My local number is (202) 694-1130.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Julie Perry".

Julie Perry  
Reports Analyst  
Reports Analysis Division

The first part of the paper discusses the importance of understanding the cultural context of the research. It highlights the need for researchers to be sensitive to the values and beliefs of the communities they are studying. This is particularly important in the field of health research, where cultural differences can significantly impact the effectiveness of interventions.

The second part of the paper focuses on the methodology used in the study. It describes the process of selecting participants and the data collection methods. The authors emphasize the importance of using a mixed-methods approach to capture both quantitative and qualitative data, which allows for a more comprehensive understanding of the research topic.

The third part of the paper presents the results of the study. It discusses the findings from the quantitative data and the insights gained from the qualitative interviews. The authors note that the results indicate a strong correlation between the cultural factors and the health outcomes, suggesting that cultural awareness is crucial for developing effective health interventions.

The fourth part of the paper discusses the implications of the findings. It suggests that the results have important implications for public health practice and policy. The authors argue that health interventions should be tailored to the specific cultural needs of the target population to ensure their effectiveness and sustainability.

The final part of the paper concludes with a summary of the key points and a call for further research. The authors encourage other researchers to explore the cultural context of their studies and to consider the implications of their findings for practice and policy.